UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,577	10/02/2006	Gerit Erbeck	033171-134	1989
	7590 01/30/200 .OTKOWSKI & HOB	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 100	64	PEDDER, DENNIS H		
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dbeltran@rmhlaw.com LGallaugher@rmhlaw.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments		Application No.						
		10/599,577	ERBECK ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 October 2006						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
D:	·	ao. Ex parte Quayre, 10	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirem	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
/—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/2/206</u> .	8) P 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application other:					

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: cover film of "PC", claim 7.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In the disclosed context, it is not understood from the disclosure what constitutes a "visor", normally a rotatable element attached to the roof.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3612

6. The claims are replete with alternative structure contrary to statute as the use of "or" and "and/or". This does not particularly point out and distinctly claim as required, but instead complicates examination as well as determination of potential infringement.

- 7. The claims also lack antecedent for numerous terms such as "the transparent area", claim 4, "the area", claim 12, and "the positioning mechanism", claim 14.
- 8. The use of the term "preferably" in claims 18-20 is indefinite as it is not clear which range is being claimed.
- 9. The claims are obviously a literal translation and should be carefully revised or replaced for all deviations from statute.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-4, 9-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bienert et al.
- 12. Bienert et al. have cement/shatterproof element 6, cementing the attachment part 7 to the pane 5. The part 7 is both reinforcing and retaining element.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3612

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claims 5-6, 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bienert et al. in view of either Bolton et al. reference.
- 16. Bolton et al., prior to the invention of applicant, teach the use of an ionomer adhesive layer laminated to a glass to form a safety glass.
- 17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Bienert et al. an ionomer adhesive layer as taught by Bolton et al., with and without a cover film, (see figure 2 of Bolton et al.) in order to form the safety glass with a known material for that purpose.
- 18. As to claim 17, Bolton et al. teaches the use of ethylene/methacrylic acid copolymers as an adhesive.
- 19. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bienert et al. in view of Bolton et al. as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of either Choussade et al. or Gourio.

Art Unit: 3612

20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in the references above a cover film of PET, as taught by Choussade et al. or PC, as taught by Gourio in order to provide protection for the laminate.

- 21. Claims 12, 14, 15-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bienert et al.
- 22. An edge seal, claim 12, use of an attachment part for connection to a positioning mechanism, claim 14, adhering a roof panel edge to a roof frame, claim 15, frit to cover edge connections, claim 16, are all deemed to be of common knowledge in this art, and obvious to use here for their intended purposes. The strength of an adhesive and its elasticity are deemed to be an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this regard, as applicant has admitted the use of a known commercially available material in SENTRYGLAS PLUS, applicant is requested to provide strength and elasticity characteristics of this material as well as other claimed adhesives, PU, PVB, and EVA, in response to this office action if claims to this feature are continued.

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

## Drawings

23. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the retaining element connected to the positioning mechanism, claim 14, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3612

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dennis H. Pedder/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

DHP 1/25/2008